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NARCCAP: North American Regional Climate Change Assessment Program

Nest high-resolution regional climate models (RCMs) inside coarser global models (GCMs) over North America
NARCCAP Collaborators

NCAR – Linda Mearns, Seth McGinnis, Melissa Bukovsky, Larry McDaniel, Doug Nychka, Steve Sain, Josh Thompson
GFDL – Isaac Held, Bruce Wyman
Hadley Centre – Richard Jones, Simon Tucker, Erasmo Buonomo, Wilfran Moufouma-Okia
Iowa State University – Bill Gutowski, Ray Arritt, Dave Flory, Daryl Herzmann, Gene Takle
LLNL – Phil Duffy, Dave Bader, Dean Williams
OURANOS – Sebastien Biner, Daniel Caya, Rene Laprise
PNNL – Ruby Leung, James Correia, Yun Qian
Scripps – Ana Nunes (also UFRJ), John Roads (deceased)
UC Santa Cruz – Lisa Sloan, Mark Snyder
Experimental Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>25 years</th>
<th>Two 30-year runs, current &amp; future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCEP</td>
<td>GFDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRCM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECP2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRM3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM5I</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCM3</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRFG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeslices</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 RCMs x 4 GCMs + NCEP and timeslices = 34 runs total
Simulation Output Archive

- 3-hourly frequency
- 50-km gridcells
- Avg domain size: 139×112 gridpoints
- 2D variables: 35
- 3D variables: 7
- Vertical levels: 28
- NetCDF format

34 runs × 30 years × 365 days × 8 timesteps × 139 X × 112 Y × (35 + 7×28 vars) × 4 bytes =

≈40 TB  total data volume
NARCCAP Program Goals

- Evaluate model performance and uncertainty
- Support further dynamical downscaling experiments
- Generate high-res climate change scenario data for impacts analysis
Supporting Impacts Users

Real-world example:

\[ \text{\# days with } T_{\text{max}} \geq 90^\circ, 100^\circ F \text{ for Austin, TX?} \]

(i.e., boil it all down to a few spreadsheet cells)

Requires:

- Time aggregation
- Interpolation
- Bias correction
Time Aggregation Is Tricky

Model output is 3-hourly
Users need averages / climatologies

Theoretically straightforward, BUT...
• Different calendars
• Endpoint variations
• Gaps in data

Easy to make small errors with big effect
Interpolation

Model gridpoints are seldom conveniently located
Many Interpolation Methods

Does it matter which algorithm you use?

- Nearest-Neighbor
- Linear
- Inv-Dist Weighted Avg
- Spline
Interpolation Error

Estimate error by interpolating to new grid and back to original
Interpolation Error vs Variability Range of Bias

Interpolation error (short bars) is noticeable on the same scale as temperature bias (long bars)
Reduction in Bias Due to Elevation Correction

- NCEP-driven ensemble compared to PRISM
- Interpolate via kriging w/ elevation covariate
- No significant effect east of Rocky Mtns
Interpolation Is Difficult

• More sophisticated methods perform better in complex terrain
• Simplistic methods may smooth away features of interest
• Need to provide both interpolation tools and interpolated data
Bias Correction

• Climate models have bias
• Delta method often used to correct mean bias*
  *assuming stationarity
• What about the rest of the distribution?
Quantile Mapping Corrects Entire Distribution
Quantile Mapping Methodology

- Operate on daily data using \texttt{qmap} library for R
- Use Maurer 1/8° daily gridded data for obs
- 15-day moving window, correct center day
- Correct each grid-cell separately
- Empirical quantiles with linear extrapolation
- \# quantiles = \# inputs (CDF mapping)
- Assume stationarity to correct future data
Change in Winter $T_{\text{max}}$ ($^\circ\text{C}$, CRCM-ccsm)

Uncorrected

Bias-corrected
Change in Summer $T_{\text{max}}$ (°C, CRCM-ccsm)
Bias Correction is Complicated AND Expensive

- Regridding obs data takes \( \approx 20 \) hours per RCM
  - More I/O- than CPU- or memory-dependent
- Bias-correcting current run takes 2.5 hours
- Bias-correcting future run takes < 1 minute
- Entire process is embarrassingly parallel
Further Complications: Uncertainty and Ensembles

Although users would prefer a crystal ball, uncertainty is important to robust analysis

• Obs are uncertain – use multiple sources
• Package uncertainty as multiple realizations

Many next-generation data products will have ensemble form
So what does all this mean?

- Downloading data to process on desktop wastes resources, especially for impacts
- Big Data needs processing *before* download
- Significant expertise needed to properly distill data into meaningful information
- Experts are a limited resource

→ We Need Data Services
Data Services

Analyze and transform data before transfer to end user

- Reduces the need for large data downloads
- Improves usability for non-specialists, applications
- Captures expertise as automated processing

- Need provenance threaded through all services
- Intimately related to data archiving & publication
- Capabilities needed depend on target audience
A Taxonomy of Data Services

Access services
Transparent; don’t alter data
- Subsetting
- Format conversion
- File spanning

Transformation services
On-the-fly changes to data
- Averages, extremes
- Regridding
- Simple math (e.g., vector winds to speed, °C to °F)

Derived data products
Expensive/tricky to generate
- Climatic indices
- Complex calculations (e.g., CAPE)
- Evaluation metrics
- Bias-correction

Viz. & interpretation
Non-data output
- Maps, plots, transects
- Statistical analysis
- Custom services