### **GPU Enablement of MICM Chemistry Solver**

Qina Tan (Colorado School of Mines) Mentors: Jian Sun, John Dennis, Matthew Dawson SIParCS Project 9 Presentation Date: August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2023



### Background & Primary Project

Model-Independent Chemistry Module (MICM)

- Software package known as a chemistry solver, being developed in C++
- About 2000 lines of codes with 96% automatic testing coverage
- Computationally expensive part of an atmospheric model

#### **Developed a GPU version of MICM via CUDA programming**

### **GPU** Architecture

Illustration of main difference between CPU and GPU:



Image source: https://docs.nvidia.com/cuda/cuda-c-programmingguide/index.html



Handle less complex workflow

# GPU Characteristics





Smaller cache memory



Many more arithmetic logic units and floating point units



Parallelize computation of large set of independent data

### **CUDA** Programming



Image source: https://docs.nvidia.com/

**Thread**: a stream of instructions and data assigned to one process unit

Thread block: constructed by multiple threads

**Grid**: constructed by multiple thread blocks

### **MICM Flowchart**



# **Forcing Calculation**

- Calculates the rate of change in atmospheric composition associated with rate constants and reactant concentrations of a set of chemical reactions that occurs in the atmosphere
- Data (rate constants, composition concentrations, etc.) are organized into matrices

### Matrix Computation

Columns: rate constants for each reaction in the chemical mechanism

R[0,0]	R[0,1]	R[0,2]	R[0,3]	Rows:
R[1,0]	R[1,1]	R[1,2]	R[1,3]	grids boxes in a 3D atmosphere model
R[2,0]	R[2,1]	R[2,2]	R[2,3]	
R[3,0]	R[3,1]	R[3,2]	R[3,3]	

### Matrix as Linear Vector

Row-major order:

R[0,0]	R[0,1]	R[0,2]	R[0,3]	R[1,0]	R[1,1]	R[1,2]	R[1,3]	
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--

Column-major order:

R[0,0] R[1,0] R[2,0] R[3,0] R[0,1] R[1,1] R[2,1] R[3,1] ...

#### Parallelism at Grid Level: Row-Major Order thread 1 thread 2 R[0,0] R[0,1] R[0,2] R[0,3] R[1,0] R[1,1] R[1,2] . . .

#### L1 cache: stride access pattern

R[0,0]	R[0,1]	R[0,2]	R[0,3]
R[1,0]	R[1,1]	R[1,2]	



L1 cache: contiguous access pattern in parallel





Problem: data race may happen!

Solution with trade-off: Atomic operations — atomicAdd()

### Experiments

- Machine: Gust
- Compiler: nvhpc/23.5
- Bit for Bit Accuracy of CPU code against GPU code
- CPU performance: 1 CPU core
- GPU performance: 1 NVIDIA A100 GPU (w/ and w/o data transfer time)
- 3 CUDA versions

### **Time Performances: GPU Implementations**

Constant inputs: 500 reactions, 400 chemical species



# Time Performances: CPU vs GPU

Constant inputs: 500 reactions, 400 chemical species



### Time Performances: CPU vs GPU

Constant inputs: 500 reactions, 400 chemical species



# Avg. Speedup: 66.30x w/o data transfer time

### Conclusion

- We ported AddForcingTerms() function to GPU via CUDA
- We evaluated different CUDA implementations
  - Different memory layouts
  - Different levels of parallelism
- Performances show increasing speedups with increasing problem size
- Future work:
  - Port more functions to GPU using similar approach
  - Explore just-in-time compilation GPU code

# Acknowledgement

- **NCAR**: for providing funding
- Jian Sun, John Dennis and Matthew Dawson: my mentors, who provided invaluable guidance and insightful feedbacks throughout the project
- **Kyle Shores** (ACOM): for his expert assistance in making possible for my CUDA codes to compile and run
- **SIParCS Cohort:** for an unforgettable summer in Boulder!

